#### Microelectronics Reliability 54 (2014) 64-70

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Microelectronics Reliability

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/microrel

# Metal-layer capacitors in the 65 nm CMOS process and the application for low-leakage power-rail ESD clamp circuit $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$

#### Po-Yen Chiu, Ming-Dou Ker\*

Department of Electronics Engineering and Institute of Electronics, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 March 2013 Received in revised form 7 August 2013 Accepted 15 August 2013 Available online 21 September 2013

#### ABSTRACT

Between the metal-insulator-metal (MIM) capacitor and metal-oxide-metal (MOM) capacitor, the MIM capacitor has a better characteristic of stable capacitance. However, the MOM capacitors can be easily realized through the metal interconnections, which does not need additional fabrication masks into the process. Moreover, the capacitance density of the MOM capacitor can exceed the MIM capacitor when more metal layers are used in nanoscale CMOS processes. With advantages of lower fabrication cost and higher capacitance density, the MOM capacitor could replace MIM capacitor gradually in general integrated circuit (IC) applications. Besides, the MOM capacitor to avoid the gate leakage issue of thin-oxide devices in nanoscale CMOS processes. With the MOM capacitor realized in the power-rail electrostatic discharge (ESD) clamp circuit, the overall leakage is decreased from 828 µA to 358 nA at 25 °C, as compared to the traditional design with MOS capacitor in the test chip fabricated in a 65 nm CMOS processe.

#### 1. Introduction

Capacitor is one of the basic components in integrated circuit (IC) applications. To meet different purposes of circuit applications, various types of capacitors have been developed with their own characteristics. Due to the limitation of capacitance per unit area, capacitors always occupy a considerable chip area in the whole circuit layout. Therefore, saving the chip area is the important consideration in capacitor selection of CMOS ICs. Nowadays, three kinds of capacitors are commonly used in IC applications, which are MOS capacitor, metal-insulator-metal (MIM) capacitor, and metal-oxide-metal (MOM) capacitor. Among those capacitors, because of thin gate oxide structure, MOS capacitor has the highest capacitance density per unit area. However, due to the disadvantages of non-linearity, higher temperature coefficient, lower breakdown voltage, and sensitive to process variations, it could not be suitable for all circuit applications. As a result, MIM capacitor and MOM capacitor were created to overcome those disadvantages for circuit applications, which need reliable capacitor characteristics [1-6]. However, the capacitance densities of MIM and MOM capacitors are much lower than the MOS capacitor. Consequently, using MIM or MOM capacitors would increase more chip area to IC products.

When the CMOS process shrinks toward nanoscale, the capacitance density of the MOS capacitor ideally will be increased when the gate oxide becomes thinner. But, thinner gate oxide makes the gate-tunneling issue more serious to cause obvious gate leakage current in the devices [7,8]. To avoid leakage current, the simple method is using the thick-oxide device to realize the MOS capacitor. However, without using the dual oxide devices in some special purposes for circuit applications, the capacitors can only be realized by MIM or MOM capacitors. Fortunately, with the dimension shrinkage in advanced CMOS processes, the lateral and vertical intervals between metal interconnects are decreased, and the parasitic capacitance between metal interconnects are increased. This feature assists the MOM capacitor to extend its capacitance density. Furthermore, with the layout structure near the fractal geometries, the MOM capacitor can have the largest capacitance density in advanced CMOS processes [1].

In this paper, the gate-tunneling mechanisms and the impacts of gate leakage current on circuit applications are described in Section 2. Two different metal-layer capacitors of MIM capacitor and MOM capacitor are compared in Section 3. Finally, the experimental verifications in silicon chip including the capacitance measurement and gate leakage in RC-based power-rail ESD clamp circuits are presented in Section 4.

#### 2. Gate-tunneling mechanisms and impacts of gate leakage current on circuit applications in advanced CMOS processes

Three gate-tunneling mechanisms were reported to explain the gate leakage in CMOS technology [7,8]. As shown in Fig. 1, three







<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported in part by National Science Council (NSC), Taiwan, under Contract of NSC 101-2221-E-009-141 and NSC 101-2220-E-009-020; and in part by "Aim for the Top University Plan" of the National Chiao-Tung University and Ministry of Education, Taiwan.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 3 5131573; fax: +886 5 715412. *E-mail address*: mdker@ieee.org (M.-D. Ker).

<sup>0026-2714/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microrel.2013.08.011



Fig. 1. Gate-tunneling mechanisms in a Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si structure [4,5].

mechanisms are electron tunneling from the conduction band (ECB), electron tunneling from the valence band (EVB), and hole tunneling from the valence band (HVB). When the gate oxide thickness is scaled down, the tunneling carriers across the potential barrier are increased with a great proportion to result in the gate leakage current. In nanoscale CMOS processes, the gate oxide thickness of MOS devices was only a few nanometers, which had obvious gate leakage current [7,8]. Since the gate leakage cannot be ignored, the gate-direct-tunneling model had been included in the BSIM4 MOSFET SPICE model for circuit simulation [9]. In the highly integrated digital circuits, the gate leakage current contributes a significant off-state leakage to greatly increase the total power consumption [10–12]. In the analog circuits, the impacts of gate leakage include the limited current gain, mismatch, and noise [13]. Besides, the ESD protection scenarios also suffer the gate leakage issue, which causes large leakage current in the power-rail ESD clamp circuits [14–16].

The typical on-chip ESD protection scheme in a CMOS IC is illustrated in Fig. 2. The power-rail ESD clamp circuit is designed to provide a current discharging path during ESD stresses, and to be kept off under normal power-on conditions. The traditional design of the power-rail ESD clamp circuit is often consisted with an RCbased ESD-detection circuit to detect the ESD event and a huge ESD



**Fig. 3.** Simulated transient waveforms of the ESD-detection circuit with (a) ideal capacitor and (b) thin-oxide NMOS capacitor under normal power-on conditions in a 65 nm CMOS process.

clamp device ( $M_{\text{NESD}}$ ) to discharge ESD current. To effectively turn on the circuit during ESD stresses and to completely turn off the circuit under normal power-on conditions, the RC time constant in the ESD-detection circuit should be designed around microseconds ( $\mu$ s) [17]. With consideration of area efficiency and fabrication cost, the capacitor ( $C_1$ ) in the ESD-detection circuit was often realized by the MOS capacitor. If such a traditional design is realized with the thin-oxide devices in nanoscale CMOS processes, the leaky MOS capacitor will cause serious leakage issue [14]. For example, due to the gate leakage current in the MOS



Fig. 2. Typical on-chip ESD protection scheme.

#### Table 1

Device dimensions used in ESD-detection circuits for HSPICE simulation.

| Туре                 | $R_1$          | <i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>                                    | $M_{\rm P3}~({\rm W/L})$ | M <sub>N3</sub> (W/L)   |
|----------------------|----------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| With ideal capacitor | $100  k\Omega$ | 2 pF   | <u>100 μm</u><br>0.15 μm | <u>20 μm</u><br>0.15 μm |
| With NMOS capacitor  | $100  k\Omega$ | $2 \ pF \ \left( \tfrac{29 \ \mu m}{28 \ \mu m} \right)$ | <u>100 μm</u><br>0.15 μm | <u>20 μm</u><br>0.15 μm |



Fig. 4. Schematic of MIM capacitor.



Fig. 5. Schematic of MOM capacitor.



Fig. 6. MOM capacitor structure used in this work.

capacitor, the voltage at the node  $V_X$  cannot be fully charged to  $V_{DD}$  after power-on. Therefore, the PMOS  $M_{P3}$  in ESD-detection circuit cannot be fully turned off, which causes another leakage path through the inverter in the ESD-detection circuit. Consequently, the gate voltage ( $V_G$ ) of  $M_{NESD}$  was not fully biased to  $V_{SS}$ . The par-



Fig. 7. MOM capacitor structure layout (a) top view and (b) cross-sectional view.

## Table 2 Estimated layout area of capacitors with different capacitances in a 65 nm CMOS process.

| Туре                | $C_{eq}(pF)$ | M <sub>Y</sub> | Area                                  | C <sub>eq</sub> per unit area<br>(fF/µm²) |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| MOM cap.            | $\sim 1$     | 3              | ${\sim}27~\mu m \times 28~\mu m$      | ~1.32                                     |
|                     |              | 4              | $\sim$ 23 $\mu$ m $	imes$ 24 $\mu$ m  | ~1.81                                     |
|                     |              | 5              | $\sim 21 \ \mu m 	imes 22 \ \mu m$    | ~2.16                                     |
|                     | $\sim 2$     | 3              | ${\sim}36\mu m \times 37\mu m$        | $\sim 1.50$                               |
|                     |              | 4              | ${\sim}32~\mu m \times 33~\mu m$      | $\sim 1.89$                               |
|                     |              | 5              | ${\sim}29~\mu m 	imes 30~\mu m$       | $\sim 2.30$                               |
|                     | ${\sim}5$    | 3              | ${\sim}57~\mu m 	imes 58~\mu m$       | ~1.51                                     |
|                     |              | 4              | ${\sim}50~\mu m 	imes 51~\mu m$       | $\sim 1.96$                               |
|                     |              | 5              | ${\sim}45~\mu m \times 46~\mu m$      | $\sim 2.42$                               |
| MIM cap.            | $\sim 1$     |                | $\sim 25 \ \mu m \times 25 \ \mu m$   | ~1.60                                     |
| 1                   | $\sim 2$     |                | $\sim$ 34 $\mu$ m $\times$ 34 $\mu$ m | ~1.73                                     |
|                     | ${\sim}5$    |                | ${\sim}52~\mu m \times 52~\mu m$      | $\sim 1.85$                               |
| MOS cap. (NMOS 1 V) | ~1           |                | $\sim$ 26 µm $\times$ 28 µm           | ~1.37                                     |
|                     | ~2           |                | $\sim$ 34 $\mu$ m $\times$ 37 $\mu$ m | ~1.59                                     |
|                     | ${\sim}5$    |                | ${\sim}48~\mu m 	imes 55~\mu m$       | ~1.89                                     |
|                     |              |                |                                       |   |

tially turned-on  $M_{\rm NESD}$  (which is usually designed with large device dimension to discharge ESD current) will conduct extra leakage current from  $V_{\rm DD}$  to  $V_{\rm SS}$  under normal circuit operating conditions. Fig. 3a and b shows the simulated results of ESD-detection circuits in a 65 nm CMOS process to observe the impact of gate leakage issue. The device dimensions used in the simulation are listed in Table 1. Without the gate leakage of an ideal capacitor in the



**Fig. 8.** RC-based power-rail ESD clamp circuit with (a) thin-oxide NMOS capacitor and (b) MOM capacitor.

#### Table 3

Device dimensions used in the power-rail ESD clamp circuits.

| Туре                   | <i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> | <i>C</i> <sub>1</sub>    | <i>М</i> <sub>РЗ</sub><br>(W/L) | M <sub>N3</sub><br>(W/L) | STSCR<br>(W/L)         |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| With NMOS<br>capacitor | 100 kΩ                | $34\mu m\times37\mu m$   | <u>100 μm</u><br>0.15 μm        | <u>20 μm</u><br>0.15 μm  | <u>40 μm</u><br>7.8 μm |
| With MOM<br>capacitor  | 100 kΩ                | $36\mu m~\times~37\mu m$ | <u>100 μm</u><br>0.15 μm        | <u>20 μm</u><br>0.15 μm  | <u>40 μm</u><br>7.8 μm |



Fig. 9. Chip micrograph of the fabricated test devices and the power-rail ESD clamp circuits in a 65 nm CMOS process.

ESD-detection circuit, the terminal  $V_X$  can be biased to near the power-supply voltage ( $V_{DD}$ ) of 1 V, as shown in Fig. 3a. The simulated overall leakage current from  $V_{DD}$  is only 335 nA at 25 °C. However, with the gate leakage of a thin-oxide NMOS capacitor in the ESD-detection circuit, the terminal  $V_X$  cannot be biased to  $V_{DD}$ , as shown in Fig. 3b. Thus, the simulated overall leakage current is increased up to 586 µA at 25 °C.

Although some circuit techniques had been reported to decrease the impact from the gate leakage current of MOS capacitor [15,16], the effective capacitance was reduced to decrease the trigger ability under ESD stress. To keep enough trigger ability, more layout area of MOS capacitor will be required. Thus, if the MOS capacitor in the traditional RC-based ESD-detection circuit was replaced by another capacitor which has no gate leakage issue, the traditional RC-based ESD-detection circuit can be still useful in nanoscale CMOS processes.

#### 3. Metal-layer capacitors

Two metal-laver capacitors, MIM and MOM capacitors, are widely utilized in CMOS processes. With the parallel-plate structure, the MIM capacitor is composed of two metal plates and a dielectric layer between them, as shown in Fig. 4. In order to realize the structure with a shorter distance (D) and a different dielectric material ( $\varepsilon_{x}$ ) to enhance the capacitance density, the fabrication of MIM capacitor needs additional fabrication masks to define the top and bottom metal plates. Different to the MIM capacitor, the MOM capacitor is realized through the metal interconnections, as shown in Fig. 5. Ideally, every pair of two metal lines can form the MOM capacitor. In the early generation of CMOS processes, the lateral and vertical intervals between metal layers were not close enough, the capacitance density of MOM capacitor was very low. However, with the dimension shrinkage in advanced CMOS processes, the parasitic capacitance between metal interconnections is increased significantly. For example, in a 0.25 µm CMOS technology, the minimum width (W) and space (S) of metal layers is 0.4  $\mu$ m. When the technology shrank to 65 nm, the minimum W and S of metal layers were decreased to  $0.1\,\mu\text{m}$ . Besides, the MOM capacitor can be stacked with several metal layers  $(M_{\rm Y})$  to increase the capacitance density in advanced CMOS technology.

Various types of MOM capacitors have been developed with different configurations to increase the horizontal or vertical surface area [18–22]. The structure of MOM capacitor used in this work is shown in Fig. 6 [20]. In the same metal layer, the lateral capacitance ( $C_{LY}$ ) between the adjacent metal lines is shown in Fig. 7a. In different metal layers, the vertical capacitance ( $C_{AY-1}$ ) is shown

Table 4

Measured capacitances of MIM and MOM capacitors under the bias voltage of -1 V, 0 V, and 1 V (at reference frequency of 100 kHz).

| Туре | $C_{\rm eq}$ | C <sub>Measured</sub>  |                        |                             | M <sub>Y</sub> | Area                                | C <sub>Measured</sub>        |
|------|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|      | (pF)         | $V_{\rm B}$ = $-1$ V   | $V_{\rm B}$ = 0 V      | <i>V</i> <sub>B</sub> = 1 V |                |                                     | per unit<br>area<br>(fF/µm²) |
| MOM  | $\sim 1$     | $\sim 1.14 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim 1.14 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim 1.14 \text{ pF}$      | 3              | $\sim 27 \ \mu m \times 28 \ \mu m$ | ~1.51                        |
| cap. |              | ~1.15 pF               | ~1.15 pF               | ~1.15 pF                    | 4              | ${\sim}23\mu m \times 24\mu m$      | $\sim 2.08$                  |
|      |              | $\sim 1.17 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim 1.17 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim 1.17 \text{ pF}$      | 5              | ${\sim}21~\mu m \times 22~\mu m$    | ~2.53                        |
|      | ${\sim}2$    | $\sim$ 2.27 pF         | ~2.27 pF               | $\sim 2.27 \text{ pF}$      | 3              | ${\sim}36\mu m\times37\mu m$        | $\sim 1.70$                  |
|      |              | $\sim$ 2.30 pF         | $\sim 2.30 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim$ 2.30 pF              | 4              | ${\sim}32~\mu m \times 33~\mu m$    | ~2.18                        |
|      |              | $\sim$ 2.35 pF         | $\sim 2.35 \text{ pF}$ | $\sim$ 2.35 pF              | 5              | ${\sim}29\mu m\times30\mu m$        | $\sim 2.70$                  |
|      | ${\sim}5$    | $\sim$ 5.71 pF         | $\sim$ 5.71 pF         | $\sim$ 5.71 pF              | 3              | ${\sim}57~\mu m \times 58~\mu m$    | ~1.73                        |
|      |              | $\sim$ 5.72 pF         | ~5.72 pF               | $\sim$ 5.72 pF              | 4              | ${\sim}50\mu m \times 51\mu m$      | ~2.24                        |
|      |              | $\sim$ 5.74 pF         | $\sim$ 5.74 pF         | $\sim$ 5.74 pF              | 5              | ${\sim}45~\mu m \times 46~\mu m$    | $\sim 2.77$                  |
| MIM  | $\sim \! 1$  | ~0.997 pF              | ~0.997 pF              | ~0.997 pF                   |                | ${\sim}25~\mu m \times 25~\mu m$    | ~1.60                        |
| cap. | $\sim\!\!2$  | ~1.973 pF              | $\sim 1.973 \ pF$      | ~1.973 pF                   |                | ${\sim}34\mu m\times34\mu m$        | $\sim 1.71$                  |
| -    | ${\sim}5$    | ~4.990 pF              | ~4.990 pF              | ~4.990 pF                   |                | ${\sim}52~\mu m \times 52~\mu m$    | $\sim 1.85$                  |

| Table 5  |
|--|
| Measured capacitances of MIM and MOM capacitors under different reference frequencies. |

| Type $C_{eq}$ (pF) |          | C <sub>Measured</sub>  |           |               | $M_{ m Y}$ | Area                                  | C <sub>Measured</sub> per unit area |                                |
|--------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                    |          | @100 kHz               | @500 kHz  | Variation (%) |            |                                       | @100 kHz (fF/µm <sup>2</sup> )      | @500 kHz (fF/µm <sup>2</sup> ) |
| MOM cap.           | $\sim 1$ | $\sim 1.14 \text{ pF}$ | ~1.13 pF  | ~0.88         | 3          | $\sim \! 27 \ \mu m 	imes 28 \ \mu m$ | ~1.51                               | ~1.49                          |
| -                  |          | ~1.15 pF               | ~1.14 pF  | $\sim 0.87$   | 4          | $\sim$ 23 $\mu$ m $\times$ 24 $\mu$ m | ~2.08                               | $\sim 2.07$                    |
|                    |          | ~1.17 pF               | ~1.16 pF  | $\sim 0.85$   | 5          | $\sim 21 \ \mu m \times 22 \ \mu m$   | ~2.53                               | ~2.51                          |
|                    | $\sim 2$ | ~2.27 pF               | ~2.25 pF  | $\sim 0.85$   | 3          | $\sim$ 36 $\mu$ m $\times$ 37 $\mu$ m | ~1.70                               | $\sim 1.69$                    |
|                    |          | ~2.30 pF               | ~2.28 pF  | $\sim 0.87$   | 4          | $\sim$ 32 $\mu$ m $\times$ 33 $\mu$ m | ~2.18                               | ~2.16                          |
|                    |          | ~2.35 pF               | ~2.33 pF  | $\sim 0.85$   | 5          | $\sim$ 29 $\mu$ m $\times$ 30 $\mu$ m | ~2.70                               | ~2.68                          |
|                    | $\sim 5$ | ~5.71 pF               | ~5.67 pF  | $\sim 0.70$   | 3          | $\sim$ 57 $\mu$ m $\times$ 58 $\mu$ m | ~1.73                               | ~1.72                          |
|                    |          | ~5.72 pF               | ~5.68 pF  | $\sim 0.70$   | 4          | $\sim$ 50 $\mu$ m $\times$ 51 $\mu$ m | ~2.24                               | ~2.23                          |
|                    |          | ~5.74 pF               | ~5.69 pF  | $\sim 0.85$   | 5          | ${\sim}45~\mu m \times 46~\mu m$      | ~2.77                               | ~2.75                          |
| MIM cap.           | $\sim 1$ | $\sim$ 0.997 pF        | ~0.996 pF | ~0.10         |            | ${\sim}25~\mu m 	imes 25~\mu m$       | ~1.60                               | ~1.59                          |
|                    | $\sim 2$ | ~1.973 pF              | ~1.972 pF | $\sim 0.05$   |            | $\sim$ 34 $\mu$ m $\times$ 34 $\mu$ m | ~1.71                               | ~1.71                          |
|                    | $\sim 5$ | ~4.990 pF              | ~4.986 pF | $\sim 0.08$   |            | ${\sim}52~\mu m \times 52~\mu m$      | $\sim 1.85$                         | $\sim 1.84$                    |

in Fig. 7b. With this kind of arrangement, the MOM capacitor takes the advantage of both the lateral and vertical fields to extend the capacitance density. The capacitance could be estimated as:

$$C_{\text{Lateral}} = L \times (F_{\text{X}} - 1) \times C_{\text{LY}},$$

$$C_{\text{Vertical}} = L \times F_{\text{X}} \times C_{\text{AY}-1}$$
, and

$$C_{\text{Total}} = C_{\text{Lateral}} + C_{\text{Vertical}}.$$
 (1)

The  $F_X$  is finger numbers. *L* is metal length.  $C_{LY}$  is coupling capacitance per unit length between the metal lines in the same metal layer. The  $C_{AY-1}$  is area capacitance per unit length between metal layers. Table 2 shows the estimated results of device layout area with different capacitors. With more metal layers stacked, the MOM capacitor can have the smallest area to achieve the same desired capacitance.

#### 4. Experimental results

To investigate the capacitance of different metal-laver capacitors, the test devices of MIM and MOM capacitors had been fabricated in the silicon chip with a 65 nm CMOS process. In addition, for investigating gate leakage issue of thin-oxide MOS capacitor, the test devices of stand-alone PMOS and NMOS capacitors were also included in the test chip. Moreover, to investigate the impact of gate leakage issue in RC-based ESD-detection circuit, power-rail ESD clamp circuits with different capacitors were implemented in the test chip. With the same capacitance of MIM and MOM capacitor realized in power-rail ESD clamp circuit, circuit behaviors would be almost identical under the same RC time constant. For emphasizing the impact of gate leakage issue, only MOM capacitor was composed in the power-rail ESD clamp circuit to observe the gate leakage issue as compared to the thin-oxide MOS capacitor. Thus, two power-rail ESD clamp circuits with thin-oxide NMOS capacitor and MOM capacitor were fabricated in the test chip as shown in Fig. 8a and b, respectively.

All device dimensions used in the power-rail ESD clamp circuits are listed in Table 3. With a capacitance of 2 pF, the layout area of MOM capacitor realized with 3 metal layers is 36  $\mu$ m × 37  $\mu$ m. The thin-oxide NMOS capacitor was implemented with a similar layout area of 34  $\mu$ m × 37  $\mu$ m (channel width  $W_c$  = 29  $\mu$ m and channel length  $L_c$  = 28  $\mu$ m). Except the capacitor, all devices used in these two circuits have the identical device dimensions. Instead of large NMOS device ( $M_{NESD}$ ), the substrate-triggered silicon-controlled rectifier (STSCR) was used as the ESD clamp device, because SCR had been proven to have the highest ESD robustness under the smallest silicon area [23]. Without the thin gate oxide in the P– N–P–N structure of SCR, SCR is free from the gate leakage issue. The chip micrograph of test devices and the fabricated power-rail ESD clamp circuits is shown in Fig. 9.

#### 4.1. Measured capacitance of metal-layer capacitors

Table 4 shows the measured capacitance of two metal-layer capacitors under the bias voltage ( $V_B$ ) at -1 V, 0 V, and 1 V (at a reference frequency of 100 kHz and temperature of 25 °C). Even the temperature was heated to 125 °C, each capacitor has the stable



**Fig. 10.** Measured gate leakage current of the thin-oxide (a) PMOS and (b) NMOS capacitor in a 65 nm CMOS process under different temperatures.

### Table 6 Measured leakage currents amount fabricated capacitors under different temperatures.

| Leakage current at $V_{\rm G}$ = 1 V                                       | 25 °C   | 50 °C   | 75 °C   | 100 °C  | 125 °C  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| NMOS cap. (~2 pF) (layout area of 34 $\mu m \times$ 37 $\mu m)$            | 50.9 μA | 51.3 μΑ | 53.3 μA | 55.5 μΑ | 57.6 μA |
| PMOS cap. ( $\sim$ 2 pF) (layout area of 34 $\mu$ m $	imes$ 37 $\mu$ m)    | 21.2 μΑ | 22.0 µA | 22.2 μA | 22.9 µA | 23.6 µA |
| MIM cap. ( ${\sim}2$ pF) (layout area of 34 $\mu$ m ${\times}$ 34 $\mu$ m) | <20 pA  |
| MOM cap. (~2 pF) (layout area of 36 $\mu m \times$ 37 $\mu m)$             | <20 pA  |



Fig. 11. Measured leakage currents between two fabricated power-rail ESD clamp circuits under different temperatures.

capacitance as shown in Table 4. However, with the different reference frequencies in the measurement, the capacitance variations of two capacitors are quite different. The measured capacitances under the reference frequencies of 100 kHz and 500 kHz at 0 V bias are summarized in Table 5. As shown in Table 5, more capacitance variation is observed in MOM capacitors when the frequency is increased. The maximum variation in MOM capacitor is 0.88%, but the variation in MIM capacitor is only 0.1%. In addition to the capacitor's structure, the most difference is the dielectric layer within two capacitors. Due to the MOM capacitor is realized from the metal interconnects, the dielectric layers are mainly formed by  $SiO_2$  and low-k materials [24]. But, in the MIM capacitor, the dielectric layer was often implemented with the high-k materials to increase the capacitance density [25]. Some relative studies had been reported that the frequency dependencies were various with different materials to cause the capacitance loss [2,26]. However, the characteristics of different materials were not further analyzed in this work.

Besides, compared to the evaluated capacitances, more capacitances are measured in MOM capacitors. Thus, the accuracy of capacitance estimation (1) in MOM capacitor should be further improved. However, the occupied area of MOM capacitor actually can be the smallest when more metal layers are used.

#### 4.2. Leakage current

Table 7

Fig. 10a and b shows the measured gate leakage currents of the stand-alone thin-oxide (1 V) PMOS and NMOS capacitors ( $W_c = 29 \,\mu\text{m}$  and  $L_c = 28 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) with the gate oxide thickness of  $\sim$ 20 Å in a 65 nm CMOS process. Under 1 V bias across the MOS

Measured leakage currents and ESD robustness of the power-rail ESD clamp circuits.



**Fig. 12.** TLP-measured *I–V* characteristics of the STSCR with and without the ESD-detection circuit.

capacitor, the gate leakage currents of PMOS and NMOS capacitors at 25 °C are 21.2 µA and 50.9 µA, respectively. The leakage currents among the fabricated capacitors under 1 V bias and different temperatures are summarized in Table 6. With such huge leakage current through the MOS capacitor, the thin-oxide MOS capacitor is no longer suitable for circuit applications in the 65 nm CMOS process. On the contrary, without the thin-oxide structure in MIM and MOM capacitors, these two capacitors' leakage currents are quite low (<20 pA). The leakage currents between two power-rail ESD clamp circuits under different temperatures with  $V_{DD}$  of 1 V are compared in Fig. 11. The leakage currents are also summarized in Table 7. Comparing with the leakage current of the stand-alone thin-oxide NMOS capacitor, much higher leakage current (828 uA at 25 °C) is observed in the power-rail ESD clamp circuit with NMOS capacitor, which indicates that the leaky MOS capacitor certainly causes extra leakage paths in the ESD-detection circuit. On the contrary, with almost no leakage current in MOM capacitor, the power-rail ESD clamp circuit with MOM capacitor has the lowest leakage current of only 358 nA. The total leakage current of the power-rail ESD clamp circuit with MOM capacitor is three orders smaller than that with NMOS capacitor from low temperature to high temperature.

#### 4.3. ESD robustness

To investigate the turn-on behavior of the ESD clamp device with ESD-detection circuit during ESD event, the transmission line pulse (TLP) with 100 ns pulse width and 10 ns rise time was used to measure the second breakdown current ( $I_{t2}$ ) of ESD protection circuits. The TLP-measured *I–V* characteristics of the STSCR with

| Circuit type                              | Leakage current at | ESD robustness    |                   |                    |                    |              |                |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
|   | 25 °C              | 50 °C             | 75 °C             | 100 °C             | 125 °C             | НВМ          | MM             |
| With NMOS capacitor<br>With MOM capacitor | 828 μΑ<br>358 nA   | 1.01 mA<br>441 nA | 1.13 mA<br>633 nA | 1.36 mA<br>1.12 μA | 1.51 mA<br>1.91 μA | 4 kV<br>4 kV | 350 V<br>350 V |

#### Table 8

The percentage of capacitance variation within the estimations.

| M <sub>Y</sub>                                 |                  | 3                        | 4                      | 5                        | 3                        | 4                      | 5                        | 3                        | 4                        | 5                        |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| C <sub>Measured</sub><br>Capacitance variation | In (1)<br>In (2) | 1.14 pF<br>12.3%<br>1.8% | 1.15 pF<br>13%<br>2.6% | 1.17 pF<br>14.5%<br>3.4% | 2.27 pF<br>11.9%<br>2.6% | 2.30 pF<br>13%<br>2.2% | 2.35 pF<br>14.9%<br>2.6% | 5.71 pF<br>12.4%<br>5.8% | 5.72 pF<br>12.6%<br>4.8% | 5.74 pF<br>12.9%<br>4.2% |

and without the ESD-detection circuit are shown in Fig. 12. Without any trigger signal, the original trigger voltage  $(V_{t1})$  of standalone STSCR (width = 40  $\mu$ m) device is 10.7 V, and the  $I_{t2}$  is 2.3 A. With the trigger signal from ESD-detection circuit, the  $V_{t1}$  of the STSCR device is significantly reduced to 3 V and the  $I_{t2}$  is 2.5 A. The lower V<sub>t1</sub> of the power-rail ESD clamp circuit ensures its effective ESD protection capability. In addition, the holding voltage of STSCR shown in Fig. 12 is  $\sim$ 2.5 V, so the proposed power-rail ESD clamp circuit is free from latchup issue in the CMOS ICs with  $V_{DD}$ of 1 V. The human-body-model (HBM) and machine-model (MM) ESD levels of these two power-rail ESD clamp circuits are evaluated by the ESD simulator. Measured ESD levels are listed in Table 7. The failure criterion is defined as 30% shift in the leakage current under 1 V V<sub>DD</sub> bias. The power-rail ESD clamp circuit with STSCR of only 40 µm width can achieve ESD robustness of 4 kV in HBM and 350 V in MM, respectively.

#### 5. Discussion

Comparing the evaluated results of MOM capacitor, more capacitance is observed in the measured results (as listed in Table 4). In (1), each finger's edge capacitance was ignored. To obtain more accurate capacitance in this structure, the capacitance estimation of MOM capacitor should be fixed in the following equations, where  $C_{FE}$  is the capacitance from all finger's edge. Thus, the maximum capacitance variation is decreased from 14.9% to 5.8%, as shown in Table 8.

$$C_{\text{Lateral}} = L \times (F_{\text{X}} - 1) \times C_{\text{LY}},$$

 $C_{\text{Vertical}} = L \times F_{\text{X}} \times C_{\text{AY}-1},$ 

 $C_{\rm FE} = F_{\rm X} \times M_{\rm Y} \times C_{\rm Edge}, \text{ and}$ 

 $C_{\text{Total}} = C_{\text{Lateral}} + C_{\text{Vertical}} + C_{\text{FE}}.$  (2)

#### 6. Conclusion

Two metal-layer capacitors, MIM and MOM capacitors, have been investigated in this work with a 65 nm CMOS process. With more metal layers utilized, the occupied silicon area of MOM capacitor can be smaller than MIM capacitor under the same capacitance. Although the MIM capacitor was reported to have the best characteristics in some CMOS processes, it increases the fabrication cost due to the additional masks. Different from the structure of MIM capacitor, the MOM capacitor can be easily realized by the metal interconnections. Without additional mask, the integration complexity and fabrication cost for MOM capacitor are not increased. With the advantages of higher capacitance density and lower fabrication cost, the MOM capacitor is more suitable than the MIM capacitor for general circuit applications in the nanoscale CMOS processes.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their thanks for the TLP equipment supported from Hanwa Electronic Ind. Co., Ltd., Japan.

The test chips of this work were fabricated under the support of TSMC University Shuttle Program.

#### References

- Samavati H, Hajimiri A, Shahani A, Nasserbakht G, Lee T. Fractal capacitors. IEEE J Solid-State Circ 1998;33(12):2035–41.
- [2] Babcock J, Balster S, Pinto A, Dirnecker C, Steinmann P, Jumpertz R, et al. Analog characteristics of metal-insulator-metal capacitors using PECVD nitride dielectrics. IEEE Electr Dev Lett 2001;22(5):230–2.
- [3] Hu H, Zhu C, Lu Y, Li M, Cho B, Choi W. A high performance MIM capacitor using HfO<sub>2</sub> dielectrics. IEEE Electr Dev Lett 2002;23(9):514–6.
- [4] Kim J, Plouchart J, Zamdmer N, Sherony M, Lu L.-H., Tan Y, Yoon M, Jenkins K, Kumar M, Ray A, Wagner L. 3-dimensional vertical parallel plate capacitors in an SOI CMOS technology for integrated RF circuits. In: Symp. VLSI Circuits Dig. Tech. Papers, 2003. p. 29–32.
- [5] Voldman S, Gebreselasie E, He Z.-X., ESD testing of aluminum and copper vertical parallel plate (VPP) capacitor structures, In: Proc IEEE Int. Reliab. Phys. Symp. 2007. p. 586–87.
- [6] Gebreselasie E, He Z.-X, Voldman S. Vertical parallel plate capacitor structures. US Patent 7,876,547. January 25, 2011.
- [7] Cao K-M, Lee W-C, Liu W, Jin X, Su P, Fung S-K, An J-X, Yu B, Hu C. BSIM4 gate leakage model including source-drain partition. In: IEDM Tech. Dig. 2000. p. 815–8.
- [8] Lee W-C, Hu C. Modeling CMOS tunneling currents through ultrathin gate oxide due to conduction- and valence-band electron tunneling. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 2001;48(7):1366–73.
- [9] Liu W, Hu C. BSIM4 and MOSFET modeling for IC simulation. Singapore: World Scientific; 2011.
- [10] Yang N, Henson W, Wortman J. A comparative study of gate direct tunneling and drain leakage currents in N-MOSFET's with sub-2 nm gate oxides. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 2000;47(8):1636–44.
- [11] Choi C-H, Nam K-Y, Yu Z, Dutton R. Impact of gate direct tunneling current on circuit performance: a simulation study. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 2001;48(12):2823-9.
- [12] Nii K, Tsukamoto Y, Yoshizawa T, Imaoka S, Yamagami Y, Suzuki T, et al. A 90 nm low-power 32 kB embedded SRAM with gate leakage suppression circuit for mobile applications. IEEE J Solid-State Circ 2004;39(4):684–93.
- [13] Annema A, Nauta B, Langevelde R, Tuinhout H. Analog circuits in ultra-deepsubmicron CMOS. IEEE J Solid-State Circ 2005;40(1):132–43.
- [14] Poon S, Maloney T. New considerations for MOSFET power clamps. In: Proc. EOS/ESD Symp. 2002. p. 1–5.
- [15] Ker M-D, Chiu P-Y, Tsai F-Y, Chang Y-J. On the design of power-rail ESD clamp circuit with consideration of gate leakage current in 65 nm low-voltage CMOS proces. In: Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Circuits Syst. 2009. p. 2281–84.
- [16] Wang C-T, Ker M-D. Design of power-rail ESD clamp circuit with ultra-low standby leakage current in nanoscale CMOS technology. IEEE J Solid-State Circ 2009;44(3):956–64.
- [17] Ker M-D. Whole-chip ESD protection design with efficient VDD-to-VSS ESD clamp circuit for submicron CMOS VLSI. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 1999;46(1):173–83.
- [18] Hu M-C, Lin W-C. Metal-on-metal capacitor with conductive plate for preventing parasitic capacitance and method of making the same. US Patent 6,743,671. June 1, 2004.
- [19] Sutardja P. Capacitor structure in a semiconductor device. US Patent 6,980,414. December 27, 2005.
- [20] Tang D, Lin W-C, Lai L-S, Chen C-H, Chang C-L. Integrated capacitor. US Patent 7,050,290. May 23, 2006.
- [21] Wang C-J. Flexible metal-oxide-metal capacitor design. US Patent 7,485,912. February 3, 2009.
- [22] Yeh T-H, Kang H-C. Semiconductor capacitor structure and layout pattern thereof. US Patent 8,116,063. February 14, 2012.
- [23] Ker M-D, Hsu K-C. Overview of on-chip electrostatic discharge protection design with SCR-based devices in CMOS integrated circuits. IEEE Trans Dev Mater Relib 2005;5(2):235–49.
- [24] Tada M, Ohtake H, Kawahara J, Hayashi Y. Effects of material interfaces in Cu/ low-κ damascene interconnects on their performance and reliability. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 2004;51(11):1867–76.
- [25] Wenger C, Lippert G, Sorge R, Schroeder T, Mane A, Lupina G, et al. Highquality Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> MIM capacitors for RF applications. IEEE Trans Electr Dev 2006;53(8):1937–9.
- [26] Chen S-B, Lai C-H, Chin A, Hsieh J-C, Liu J. High-density MIM capacitors using Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and AlTiO<sub>x</sub> dielectrics. IEEE Electr Dev Lett 2002;23(4):185–7.